IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 23193 of 2004

Between:

Pasupaleti Rajesh, S/o. Ramachandra Rao,
Kanakadurga Grameena Bank,

Repudi Branch, A. Konduru Mandal,
Krishna District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The General Manager, Kanakadurga Grameena Bank,
Gudivada, Krishna District.
2 The Branch Manager, Kanakadurga

Grameena Bank, Repudi Branch,

A. Konduru Mandal,
Krishna District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue writ or direction preferably writ of mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents in regularizing petitioners services as illegal, arbitrary, and violative of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for regularization as Sub-staff or any suitable post and pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.KOWTURU VINAYA KUMAR

Standing Counsel for the Respondents: MR.K.SRINIVASAMURTHY.

The Court, at the admission stage, made the following :

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 23193 of 2004

ORDER:

Challenging the inaction of the respondents in regularizing the services of the petitioner, the present writ petition is filed.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will give a representation to the respondents with regard to the regularization of his services and in view of the same, a direction may be given to the respondents to take appropriate decision on the representation to be submitted by the petitioner.

4. Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, I consider it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with some direction.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the petitioner to submit his representation to the respondents and the same shall have to be considered by the respondents in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Bank. No costs.

_____

February 15, 2005

Kvr

To

1 The General Manager, Kanakadurga Grameena Bank,
Gudivada, Krishna District.
2 The Branch Manager, Kanakadurga

Grameena Bank, Repudi Branch,

A. Konduru Mandal,
Krishna District.

3 Two C.D copies.